#### Asset Bubbles and Global Imbalances Daisude Ikeda and Toan Phan American Economic Journal - Macroeconomics 2019

Alejandro Rojas-Bernal

February 2020

Alejandro Rojas-Bernal (UBC)

Asset Bubbles and Global Imbalances

February 2020 1 / 14

#### **Empirical Motivation**



Panel A. Current accounts (bars) and US current account as percentage of GDP (line)

Panel B. S&P 500 and US aggregate house price index



Panel C. Percentage changes in real GDP, consumption, and domestic credit to private sector of the US



FIGURE 6. GLOBAL IMBALANCES, US ASSET PRICES, AND US ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES

**Empirical regularities about bubble episodes:** Upstream capital flows and credit expansion during the boom phase, but sharp economic contractions and current account readjustment during the bust phase.

A D F A B F A B F A B

# Objective

In the context of an open economy overlapping generation model with rational bubbles (Samuelson, 1958; Diamond, 1965; Tirole, 1985) and:

- Heterogenous productivity,
- Credit Frictions,
- and asymmetric financial development.

Explain:

- How financial integration between North and South increase upstream capital flows, reduce the interest rate and facilitate the emergence of bubbles in the north.
- Bubbles in the North facilitate South-to-North capital flows creating a reinforcing relationship between global imbalances and bubbles.
- How the boom-bust bubble episode explain the fluctuations in consumption, investment and output.

In other words: formalization of the saving-glut hypothesis.

Alejandro Rojas-Bernal (UBC)

#### Bubbleless South - The Model

**Households:** OLG with 2 generations (continuum of mass 1). Each young is born with a probabilistic production of capital skill  $a \sim F(a)$  ( $a \in A$ ) and faces:

$$\underset{\left\{C_{t+1}(a),K_{t+1}(a),D_{t}(a)\right\}}{Max}C_{t+1}\left(a\right)$$

#### s.t.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}_{t+1}\left(a\right) &= a\left[W_{t} + D_{t}\left(a\right)\right] \text{ where } I_{t}\left(a\right) = W_{t} + D_{t}\left(a\right) \\ \mathcal{C}_{t+1}\left(a\right) &= \mathcal{R}_{t+1}^{k}\left(a\right)\mathcal{K}_{t+1}\left(a\right) - \mathcal{R}_{t+1}D_{t}\left(a\right) \\ D_{t}\left(a\right) &\leq \lambda_{t}\left(a\right)\mathcal{W}_{t} \\ \mathcal{K}_{t+1}\left(a\right) &\geq 0 \end{split}$$

**Firms:**  $Y_t = K_t^{\alpha} (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha}$  with  $A_t = (1+g)^t$ . Detrended variables will be  $x_t = X_t / (1+g)^t$ .  $w_t = (1-\alpha) k_t^{\alpha}$  and  $R_t^k = \alpha k_t^{\alpha-1}$ .

**Equilibrium:**  $L_t = 1$  and  $\int D_t(a) dF(a) = 0$ .

Alejandro Rojas-Bernal (UBC)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## **Bubleless South - Solution**

Endogenous segmentation of types into borrowers and lenders:

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}_{t+1}(a)}{W_t} \begin{cases} = 0 \text{ if } a < \bar{a}_{nb,t} \\ [0,(1+\lambda_t(a))a] \text{ if } a = \bar{a}_{nb,t} \\ (1+\lambda_t(a))a \text{ if } a > \bar{a}_{nb,t} \end{cases}; \frac{D_t(a)}{W_t} = \begin{cases} -1 \text{ if } a < \bar{a}_{nb,t} \\ [-1,\lambda_t(a)] \text{ if } a = \bar{a}_{nb,t} \\ \lambda_t(a) \text{ if } a > \bar{a}_{nb,t} \end{cases}$$

No arbitrage condition for marginal investor is given by  $R_{t+1} = \bar{a}_{nb,t}R_{t+1}^k = \bar{a}_{nb,t}\alpha k_{t+1}^{\alpha-1}$ 

From here the aggregate capital stock and the excess saving over net worth ratio:

$$\frac{K_{t+1}}{W_{t}} = \frac{(1+g)k_{t+1}}{(1-\alpha)k_{t}^{\alpha}} = \int_{a > \bar{a}_{nb,t}} \left[1 + \lambda_{t}\left(a\right)\right] a dF\left(a\right) = \mathcal{K}_{t}\left(\bar{a}_{nb,t}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{SI}_{t}\left(\bar{a}_{nb,t}\right) = 1 - \mathcal{I}_{t}\left(\bar{a}_{nb,t}\right) = 1 - \int_{a > \bar{a}_{nb,t}} \left[1 + \lambda\left(a\right)\right] dF\left(a\right) = 0$$

This last equation determines the solution for  $\bar{a}_{nb,t}$ .

## Bubleless South - Balanced Growth Path (BGP)

The BGP of this economy is given by:

$$k_{nb} = \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{1+g}\mathcal{K}\left(\bar{a}_{nb}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$
$$\mathcal{SI}\left(\bar{a}_{nb}\right) = 1 - I\left(\bar{a}_{nb}\right) = 0$$
$$R_{nb} = \mathcal{R}\left(\bar{a}_{nb}\right) \equiv \frac{(1+g)\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{\bar{a}_{nb}}{\mathcal{K}\left(\bar{a}_{nb}\right)} \text{ or } \bar{a}_{nb} = \mathcal{A}_{nb}\left(R_{nb}\right) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}\left(R_{nb}\right)$$



Under certain assumptions about F(a)  $\bar{a}_{nb}$ ,  $R_{nb}$  and  $K_{nb}$  are increasing on  $\lambda_t(a)$  and F(A).

Alejandro Rojas-Bernal (UBC)

## Bubbly North - The Model

**Households:** exogenous ability to create one unit of an asset that pays no divided (no fundamental value), has positive price, and burst to zero with an exogenous probability  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Bubble equilibria with relative size of new bubble given by  $B_t^N = nB_t$  with  $n \in [0, 1)$ , and bubble-over-net-worth ratio  $\beta_t = \frac{B_t}{W_t + nB_t}$ :

$$\underset{\left\{K_{t+1}(a), D_{t}(a), B_{t}(a)\right\}}{Max} R_{t+1}^{k}(a) K_{t+1}(a) + (1-p) \frac{B_{t+1}^{O}}{B_{t}} B_{t}(a) - R_{t+1}D_{t}(a)$$

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{K}_{t+1}\left(a
ight) = aI_{t}\left(a
ight) \ & I_{t}\left(a
ight) + \mathcal{B}_{t}\left(a
ight) = \mathcal{W}_{t} + n\mathcal{B}_{t} + \mathcal{D}_{t}\left(a
ight) \ & \mathcal{D}_{t}\left(a
ight) \leq \lambda_{t}\left(a
ight)\left(\mathcal{W}_{t} + n\mathcal{B}_{t}
ight) \ & \mathcal{K}_{t+1}\left(a
ight) \geq 0; \ & \mathcal{B}_{t}\left(a
ight) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Firms: Same environment

**Equilibrium:** we add  $\int B_t(a) dF(a) = B_t$ 

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

## **Bubbly North - Solution**

Again:

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}_{t+1}(a)}{W_t + nB_t} \begin{cases} = 0 \text{ if } a < \bar{a}_{b,t} \\ [0,(1+\lambda_t(a))a] \text{ if } a = \bar{a}_{b,t} \\ (1+\lambda_t(a))a \text{ if } a > \bar{a}_{b,t} \end{cases}; \frac{D_t(a)}{W_t + nB_t} = \begin{cases} -1 \text{ if } a < \bar{a}_{b,t} \\ [-1,\lambda_t(a)] \text{ if } a = \bar{a}_{b,t} \\ \lambda_t(a) \text{ if } a > \bar{a}_{b,t} \end{cases}$$

No arbitrage condition for marginal investor is given by

$$R_{t+1} = \bar{a}_{b,t} R_{t+1}^k = (1-p)(1-n)(1+g) \frac{b_{t+1}}{b_t}$$

From here the aggregate capital stock and the excess saving over net worth ratio:

$$\frac{K_{t+1}}{W_t + nB_t} = \frac{(1 - n\beta_t)(1 + g))k_{t+1}}{(1 - \alpha)k_t^{\alpha}} = \int_{a > \bar{a}_{b,t}} [1 + \lambda_t(a)] \, adF(a) = \mathcal{K}_t(\bar{a}_{b,t})$$

$$\mathcal{SI}_{t}\left(\bar{a}_{b,t}\right) = 1 - \mathcal{I}_{t}\left(\bar{a}_{b,t}\right) = 1 - \int_{a > \bar{a}_{b,t}} \left[1 + \lambda\left(a\right)\right] dF\left(a\right) = \beta_{t}$$

This last equation determines  $\bar{a}_{b,t}$ . Interestingly  $\bar{a}_{b,t} > \bar{a}_{nb,t} = 1$ 

#### Bubbly North - BGP

$$k_{b} = \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{1+g}\frac{\mathcal{K}\left(\bar{a}_{b}\right)}{1-n\beta}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$
$$\mathcal{SI}\left(\bar{a}_{b}\right) = 1 - \mathcal{I}\left(\bar{a}_{b}\right) = \beta$$
$$R_{b} = (1-p)\left(1-n\right)\left(1+g\right) \text{ or } \bar{a}_{b} = \mathcal{A}_{b}\left(\frac{R_{b}}{1-n\beta}\right)$$



Bubbles exists if and only if  $R_{nb} < R_b = (1-p)(1-n)(1+g)$ 

Alejandro Rojas-Bernal (UBC)

## Open Economy - Bubbleless

New equilibrium conditions:

$$R_{t+1}=R_{t+1}^{*}$$
 and  $\int D_{t}\left(a
ight)dF\left(a
ight)+\int D_{t}^{*}\left(a
ight)dF\left(a
ight)=0$ 

In the BGP the equilibrium equation for  $R_{nb}$  is given by:

$$\mathcal{SI}^{w}(R_{nb}) = \underbrace{\left(1 - \mathcal{I}\left(\mathcal{A}(R_{nb})\right)\right)}_{\mathcal{SI}(R_{nb})} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\mathcal{A}^{*}(R_{nb})}{\mathcal{A}(R_{nb})}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}}_{\mu^{*}(R_{nb})} \underbrace{\left(1 - \mathcal{I}^{*}\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}(R_{nb})\right)\right)}_{\mathcal{SI}^{*}(R_{nb})} = 0$$

Alejandro Rojas-Bernal (UBC)

February 2020 10 / 14

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Open Economy - Bubbleless - Metzler Diagram

With  $F(a) < F^{*}(a) \ \forall a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \lambda > \lambda^{*}$ 



# Open Economy - Bubbly North and Bubbleless South

New equilibrium conditions:

$$R_{t+1} = \bar{a}_{b,t} R_{t+1}^k = \bar{a}_{b,t}^* R_{t+1}^{k*}$$

In the BGP the equilibrium equation for  $R_{nb}$  is given by:

$$\mathcal{SI}^{w}(R_{b}) = \underbrace{\left(1 - \mathcal{I}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{R_{b}}{1 - n\beta}\right)\right)\right)}_{\mathcal{SI}\left(\frac{R_{b}}{1 - n\beta}\right)} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\mathcal{A}^{*}(R_{b})}{\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{R_{b}}{1 - n\beta}\right)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}}(1 - n\beta)}_{\mu^{*}(R_{b})}\underbrace{\left(1 - \mathcal{I}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(R_{b}\right)\right)\right)}_{\mathcal{SI}^{*}(R_{b})} = \beta$$

Alejandro Rojas-Bernal (UBC)

Asset Bubbles and Global Imbalances

February 2020 12 / 14

< 回 > < 三 > < 三

# Open Economy - Feedback Mechanism

 ${\it F}={\it F}^*\sim {\it U}$  and  $\lambda>\lambda^*$ 



Feedback mechanism between bubbles and trade deficit.

Alejandro Rojas-Bernal (UBC)

#### Bubbles and Business Cycle



Asset Bubbles and Global Imbalances

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >